





 To improve health care quality for low-income children and adults, people with chronic illnesses and disabilities, frail elders, and racially and ethnically diverse populations experiencing disparities in care.



CHCS and Children's Health



з

With support from the Annie E. Casey Foundation for its Children in Managed Care Program, CHCS is working with states, managed care organizations, and family/consumer based organizations to improve the quality of care and outcomes for children with complex physical and behavioral health needs being served in publicly-financed systems.

- Children and Youth with SED
- Children involved in Child Welfare
- EPSDT Program

Key Facts on Racial and Ethnic Image: Comparison of the second secon

6

- IOM Study

- AHRQ National Healthcare Disparities Report
- Ethnic minorities are less healthy than whites and have less access to health care

Medicaid

- Over half of beneficiaries under age 65 belong to a minority group
- 60 percent of beneficiaries are in managed care
- Managed care = a leverage point for improving quality

CHCS Disparities Portfolio



- Disparities Best Clinical and Administrative Practices
- Disparities Purchasing Institute
- National Health Plan Collaborative
- Practice Size Exploratory Project
- Child Welfare Quality Improvement Collaborative
- Supporting Practice Improvement to Reduce Disparities



Early Analysis

- GWU Study: Title VI of 1964 Civil Rights Act does not prevent MCOs from legally collecting R/E data in support of QI efforts
- R/E data collection to-date determined to be
- consistent with regulations and in compliance
- Pending Analysis
- Determine legality of health plans using employer collected race/ethnicity data for patient level QI interventions (GWU)

Addressing Disparities Starts with Data



- CHCS projects' use of race and ethnicity data is tightly proscribed:
 - Identifying disparities
 - Targeting interventions
 - Monitoring impact on reducing disparities
- No federal mandate in health care to collect data on race and ethnicity.
- State-level race/ethnicity data collection mandates vary.

Types of Data Sources Direct Based on self-report More accurate/useful for provider/patient level interventions Available to MCOs through Medicaid and MMC eligibility and enrollment data

• e.g. Survey, Vital Records, Census Data

Types of Data Sources



Indirect

- Based on assumptions
 - Can be used for community level interventions or to identify potential target areas for intervention
 - Used primarily by commercial plans without access to direct information through employers
 - e.g. Geo-coding, Surname Analysis

11

Uses of R/E Data in Medicaid: Disparities Health Plan Collaborative



10

8

- 12 managed care organizations with 3.2 million Medicaid/SCHIP enrollees from across the country
- Initial Challenge: "How do we identify the racial and ethnic minority members?"
- QI interventions addressed disparities in prenatal care/birth outcomes, asthma, diabetes, immunizations, and HEDIS rates

12

Highlighted Outcomes: Disparities BCAP	Uses of R/E Data in Commercial MCOs: National Health Plan Collaborative
 Blue Cross of California State Sponsored Business: Pharmacy consultation initiative for African American members with asthma improved consultation rates from 29% to 55% Monroe Health Plan: Peer outreach for pregnant African American teens reduced NICU admissions and achieved a positive ROI of \$2.86 for every dollar invested 	 Phase One (completed) Identifying Viable Indirect Data Focus on HEDIS Indicators by Race QI in area of Diabetes
• UPMC for You: Community-based high-risk prenatal care program for African American women increased first trimester visits from 14% to 39% and reduced low birth weight rates from 7.9% to 5.3 %	 Phase Two (ongoing) Testing various direct and indirect approaches to determine advantages and limitations

Highlighted Findings: National Health Plan Collaborative



- Engage employers to establish trust
- No one approach is sufficient
- Online data methods for younger, commercial members
- Language is a sensitive issue

Uses of R/E Data in Medicaid: the Practice Size Exploratory Project Data analysis aimed at identifying "High volume/High opportunity" practices (AR, MI, NY, PA) to which Quality Improvement activities will be targeted High Medicaid volume High volume of racial/ethnic minorities High chronic disease burden Low performance on quality indicators Contracts with low number of MCOs

Highlighted Findings: The Practice Size Exploratory Project



17

15

MICHIGAN MEDICAID

- 64% of members are children age 0-19
- 50% of members are racial/ethnic minority groups
- Older children have less access to care (HEDIS Measure)
 - Rates of 83-98 for children 12-24 months old
 - Rates of 48-87 for children 7-11 years old

Uses of R/E Data in Medicaid: A Focus on Child Welfare



CHCS has partnered with ten managed care organizations to improve physical and behavioral outcomes for children involved in child welfare.

Participating MCOs are working to:

- Increase access to care,
- Improve coordination of physical and behavioral health care,
- Implement medical/behavioral health homes, including the use of electronic medical records, and
- Identify best practices in behavioral health pharmacy management.

18

19

Disparities among Children in Child Welfare

Minority children are over-represented in Child Welfare
 African Americans represent only 15% of the total population but

- their children comprise 40% of the foster care population. (CWLA)
 Hispanic and African American children more likely to be placed in foster care even when analysis are controlled for race. (Race
- Matters)

 Differential rates of reporting, investigations, and substantiation of
- claims for children of color. *(Family Violence Prevention Fund)* – No significant difference in rates of maltreatment when analyses
- are controlled for income. (Family Violence Prevention Fund)
- Greater vulnerability to adverse social, physical and behavioral health outcomes for children in foster care.



20

What Have We Learned? What Have We Learned? • Addressing Disparities is Critical Standardization - There are clinical, policy, and business cases for - More challenging as diversity increases reducing racial and ethnic disparities - Communication across public systems including child-serving systems - is lacking Data Collection - Trust must be established regarding use of - Data reliability varies data through community, employers, public - No single gold-standard systems - High-level aggregation is promising 21 22

What Have We Learned?



• Utility

- Baseline information is critical to identifying areas of disparity
- Race/ethnicity data are important to effectively targeting interventions
- Multiple-level initiatives are more effective (system, provider, consumer)
- Culturally competent approaches are critical
- Disparities may be unexpected

23

For Additional Information

- CHCS website: www.chcs.org
- Kamala Allen: kallen@chcs.org (CWQIC)
- January Angeles: jangeles@chcs.org (NHPC)
- JeanHee Moon: jmoon@chcs.org (PSEP)

24